

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Ken Calvert
2205 Rayburn Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Calvert:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Habel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 42

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 42 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Riverside County	\$9,920,000	\$27,420,000
Canyon Lake	\$60,349	\$181,035
Corona	\$930,339	\$2,790,853
Eastvale	\$356,871	\$1,070,551
Lake Elsinore	\$344,690	\$1,034,008
Menifee	\$502,881	\$1,508,555
Murrieta	\$642,952	\$1,928,744
Norco	\$152,908	\$458,699
Temecula	\$616,222	\$1,848,557
Wildomar	\$198,702	\$596,073
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$40,084,404	\$81,945,075

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Paul Cook
1222 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Cook:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Hapel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 8

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 8 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Inyo County	\$960,000	\$2,660,000
Bishop	\$22,437	\$67,306
Mono County	\$580,000	\$1,610,000
Mammoth Lakes	\$46,523	\$139,560
Riverside County	\$9,920,000	\$27,420,000
San Bernardino County	\$9,600,000	\$26,550,000
Adelanto	\$189,261	\$567,750
Apple Valley	\$421,813	\$1,265,366
Barstow	\$137,636	\$412,885
Big Bear Lake	\$28,358	\$85,068
Hesperia	\$526,736	\$1,580,114
Needles	\$28,448	\$85,340
San Bernardino	\$1,217,544	\$3,652,419
Twentynine Palms	\$147,682	\$443,021
Victorville	\$697,843	\$2,093,407
Yucaipa	\$303,856	\$911,516
Yucca Valley	\$120,240	\$360,698
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$51,306,867	\$113,012,450

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Jeff Denham
1730 Longworth HOB
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Denham:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Hapel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 10

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 10 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
San Joaquin County	\$3,990,000	\$11,030,000
Escalon	\$40,296	\$120,882
Manteca	\$417,209	\$1,251,552
Ripon	\$83,192	\$249,561
Tracy	\$504,034	\$1,512,012
Stanislaus County	\$3,200,000	\$8,860,000
Ceres	\$266,492	\$799,430
Hughson	\$40,398	\$121,187
Modesto	\$1,197,272	\$3,591,605
Newman	\$61,247	\$183,730
Oakdale	\$126,268	\$378,783
Patterson	\$127,636	\$382,884
Riverbank	\$135,111	\$405,308
Turlock	\$407,089	\$1,221,196
Waterford	\$49,653	\$148,950
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$37,004,387	\$73,365,084

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Duncan Hunter
2429 Rayburn HOB
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Hunter:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Hapel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 50

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 50 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Riverside County	\$9,920,000	\$27,420,000
Temecula	\$616,222	\$1,848,557
San Diego County	\$13,820,000	\$38,220,000
El Cajon	\$578,214	\$1,734,539
Escondido	\$851,808	\$2,555,275
San Marcos	\$527,125	\$1,581,284
Santee	\$320,682	\$961,991
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$52,992,541	\$117,429,646

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Darrell Issa
2269 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Issa:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Habel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 49

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 49 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Orange County	\$12,330,000	\$34,120,000
Dana Point	\$188,798	\$566,360
Mission Viejo	\$546,370	\$1,639,013
San Clemente	\$374,290	\$1,122,806
San Juan Capistrano	\$203,884	\$611,615
San Diego County	\$13,820,000	\$38,220,000
Carlsbad	\$638,065	\$1,914,083
Del Mar	\$24,148	\$72,441
Encinitas	\$349,899	\$1,049,636
Oceanside	\$994,123	\$2,982,194
Solana Beach	\$76,242	\$228,714
Vista	\$558,772	\$1,676,217
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$56,463,081	\$127,311,079

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Steve Knight
1023 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Knight:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Hapel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 25

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 25 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Los Angeles County	\$36,120,000	\$99,910,000
Lancaster	\$887,596	\$2,662,632
Palmdale	\$904,422	\$2,713,107
Santa Clarita	\$1,274,164	\$3,822,268
Ventura County	\$3,790,000	\$10,480,000
Simi Valley	\$718,505	\$2,155,390
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$70,053,177	\$164,851,397

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Doug LaMalfa
322 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman LaMalfa:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Hapel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 1

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 1 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Butte County	\$1,960,000	\$5,410,000
Biggs	\$10,730	\$32,187
Chico	\$522,430	\$1,567,199
Gridley	\$37,200	\$111,594
Oroville	\$101,679	\$305,019
Paradise	\$148,134	\$444,376
Glenn County	\$800,000	\$2,210,000
Lassen County	\$810,000	\$2,250,000
Susanville	\$101,402	\$304,189
Modoc County	\$790,000	\$2,170,000
Alturas	\$15,973	\$47,916
Nevada County	\$980,000	\$2,710,000
Grass Valley	\$73,197	\$219,578
Nevada City	\$18,419	\$55,255
Truckee	\$91,419	\$274,239
Placer County	\$2,540,000	\$7,030,000
Auburn	\$79,497	\$238,476
Colfax	\$11,684	\$35,051
Lincoln	\$267,470	\$802,362
Plumas County	\$650,000	\$1,790,000
Portola	\$12,317	\$36,949
Shasta County	\$1,810,000	\$5,000,000
Anderson	\$59,241	\$177,713
Redding	\$509,808	\$1,529,334
Shasta Lake	\$59,456	\$178,357
Sierra County	\$310,000	\$870,000
Loyalton	\$4,362	\$13,085
Siskiyou County	\$1,300,000	\$3,580,000
Dorris	\$5,543	\$16,627
Dunsmuir	\$9,323	\$27,966
Etna	\$4,158	\$12,475
Fort Jones	\$4,012	\$12,034
Montague	\$8,227	\$24,678
Mount Shasta	\$19,176	\$57,526
Tulelake	\$5,707	\$17,119

Weed	\$15,645	\$46,933
Yreka	\$44,252	\$132,747
Tehama County	\$1,120,000	\$3,110,000
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$41,668,950	\$85,958,986

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Kevin McCarthy
2421 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman McCarthy:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Hapel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 23

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 23 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Kern County	\$5,640,000	\$15,600,000
California City	\$79,779	\$239,324
Ridgecrest	\$158,564	\$475,665
Taft	\$53,139	\$159,408
Tehachapi	\$81,440	\$244,307
Los Angeles County	\$36,120,000	\$99,910,000
Lancaster	\$887,596	\$2,662,632
Tulare County	\$3,890,000	\$10,760,000
Porterville	\$339,401	\$1,018,144
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$73,608,410	\$174,177,479

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Tom McClintock
2312 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman McClintock:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Hapel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 4

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 4 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Alpine County	\$120,000	\$320,000
Amador County	\$550,000	\$1,520,000
Amador	\$1,074	\$3,220
Ione	\$44,737	\$134,204
Jackson	\$27,697	\$83,085
Plymouth	\$5,735	\$17,204
Sutter Creek	\$14,622	\$43,865
Calaveras County	\$840,000	\$2,320,000
Angels Camp	\$22,855	\$68,560
El Dorado County	\$1,760,000	\$4,880,000
Placerville	\$60,467	\$181,391
South Lake Tahoe	\$120,929	\$362,766
Fresno County	\$5,990,000	\$16,580,000
Madera County	\$1,710,000	\$4,740,000
Mariposa County	\$540,000	\$1,480,000
Nevada County	\$980,000	\$2,710,000
Truckee	\$91,419	\$274,239
Placer County	\$2,540,000	\$7,030,000
Auburn	\$79,497	\$238,476
Loomis	\$267,470	\$802,362
Lincoln	\$37,810	\$113,425
Rocklin	\$340,989	\$1,022,907
Roseville	\$757,525	\$2,272,442
Tuolumne County	\$790,000	\$2,170,000
Sonora	\$27,702	\$83,102
Yuba County	\$790,000	\$2,180,000
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$44,869,018	\$94,739,249

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Devin Nunes
Longworth House Office Building, Suite 1013
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Nunes:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Hapel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 22

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 22 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Fresno County	\$5,990,000	\$16,580,000
Clovis	\$610,430	\$1,831,184
Kingsburg	\$68,372	\$205,103
Orange Cove	\$52,094	\$156,272
Reedley	\$146,897	\$440,665
Tulare County	\$3,890,000	\$10,760,000
Dinuba	\$139,314	\$417,919
Exeter	\$62,417	\$187,239
Farmersville	\$63,061	\$189,171
Lindsay	\$73,225	\$219,663
Tulare	\$358,866	\$1,076,534
Visalia	\$735,817	\$2,207,323
Woodlake	\$43,212	\$129,628
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$38,592,195	\$77,508,701

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Dana Rohrabacher
2300 Rayburn HOB
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Rohrabacher:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Hapel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 48

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 48 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Orange County	\$12,330,000	\$34,120,000
Aliso Viejo	\$285,381	\$856,092
Costa Mesa	\$647,518	\$1,942,439
Fountain Valley	\$320,439	\$961,262
Garden Grove	\$1,001,779	\$3,005,160
Huntington Beach	\$1,102,966	\$3,308,705
Laguna Beach	\$133,438	\$400,291
Laguna Niguel	\$373,708	\$1,121,060
Newport Beach	\$481,309	\$1,443,842
Santa Ana	\$1,937,587	\$5,812,420
Seal Beach	\$141,693	\$425,054
Westminster	\$531,521	\$1,594,470
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$45,645,829	\$98,098,795

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Edward Royce
2310 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Royce:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Hapel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 39

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 39 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Los Angeles County	\$36,120,000	\$99,910,000
Diamond Bar	\$322,513	\$967,482
Industry	\$2,548	\$7,644
La Habra Heights	\$30,844	\$92,526
Walnut	\$170,362	\$511,055
Orange County	\$14,730,000	\$38,240,000
Anaheim	\$2,023,502	\$6,070,151
Brea	\$246,966	\$740,853
Buena Park	\$470,918	\$1,412,672
Fullerton	\$804,895	\$2,414,545
Placentia	\$295,291	\$885,821
Yorba Linda	\$382,155	\$1,146,399
San Bernardino County	\$9,600,000	\$26,550,000
Chino	\$485,535	\$1,456,520
Chino Hills	\$445,600	\$1,336,723
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$92,489,620	\$224,850,392

September 14, 2017

The Honorable David Valadao
1728 Longworth House Office Bldg
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Valadao:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE



With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
California Construction and Industrial Materials Association (CalCIMA)

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Hapel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive Director
California Asphalt Pavement Association (CalAPA)

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
Western Regional Association for Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 21

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 21 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Fresno County	\$5,990,000	\$16,580,000
Coalinga	\$102,193	\$306,562
Firebaugh	\$46,071	\$138,205
Fowler	\$33,584	\$100,747
Huron	\$39,065	\$117,187
Kerman	\$81,169	\$243,493
Mendota	\$66,462	\$199,374
Parlier	\$86,983	\$260,934
Sanger	\$147,038	\$441,088
San Joaquin	\$22,866	\$68,594
Selma	\$140,371	\$421,088
Kern County	\$5,640,000	\$15,600,000
Arvin	\$118,528	\$355,562
Bakersfield	\$2,142,007	\$6,425,645
Delano	\$299,687	\$899,007
McFarland	\$82,819	\$248,443
Shafter	\$101,973	\$305,901
Wasco	\$149,564	\$448,665
Kings County	\$1,180,000	\$3,270,000
Avenal	\$87,605	\$262,799
Corcoran	\$140,196	\$420,563
Hanford	\$315,501	\$946,448
Lemoore	\$148,027	\$444,054
Tulare County	\$3,890,000	\$10,760,000
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$47,410,198	\$102,372,359

September 14, 2017

The Honorable Mimi Walters
215 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congresswoman Walters:



We have become aware that consideration is being given to sponsoring an initiative to repeal SB 1, a measure passed by the California State Legislature earlier this year to increase funding for state and local transportation programs and projects.



We appreciate that your primary goal is to protect all incumbent Republicans and increase the number of Republicans in the House as well as other elected bodies. We would not expect you to have any other fundamental objective.



However, a strategy to use an initiative to repeal SB 1 to reach your goal may be counterproductive to your objectives. Fundamentally, any attack on SB 1 amounts to an attack on improving our badly-deficient transportation system, endangering our economic growth and competitiveness, and increasing unemployment.



LOS ANGELES AREA
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

With so much at stake, our organizations will have no option but to mount a robust and powerful effort in opposition to this initiative, using the voices of California's business community to counter your efforts. We don't think your objective is to create new political adversaries.



The expected funding from SB 1 is critical to California, if it remains intact. Attached is a spreadsheet of transportation funding that will be sent to the cities and counties in your district to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.



Rather than enter into a battle that is likely only to be a distraction from your primary objective and self-defeating, we would like to engage you in a conversation to discuss the pitfalls of this approach. Our polling tells us that the transportation package funding is more popular than may be perceived and, of course, by the time voting occurs, work all over the state to improve our transportation system will actually be underway.



As principal stakeholders in this matter, we would like to meet with you to discuss the issue and the political consequences involved before you decide on a course of action.



Thank you for your attention to this matter, we look forward to a dialogue.



Brad Diede, Executive Director
**American Council of Engineering
Companies California (ACEC California)**

Tom Holsman, CEO
**Associated General Contractors of California
(AGC California)**



Lucy Dunn, President & CEO
Orange County Business Council

Gary Toebben, President & CEO
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce



Michael Quigley, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)



Gary W. Hambly, President & CEO
**California Construction and Industrial
Materials Association (CalCIMA)**

Roger Dickinson, Executive Director
Transportation California



Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of California Cities

Jim Roberts, President & CEO
Granite Construction



Mary Rotelli, Chief Operating Officer
Teichert Construction

John Hapel, Executive Director
Southern California Partnership for Jobs



Brock Lodge, President, Western
Division
Vulcan Materials Company

Stuart Waldman, President
Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)



Jim Wunderman, President and CEO
Bay Area Council

Eddie Sprecco, Chief Executive Director
Associated General Contractors, San Diego Chapter

Russell W. Snyder, CAE, Executive
Director
**California Asphalt Pavement
Association (CalAPA)**

Emily Cohen, Executive Vice President
United Contractors



Sallie Houston, President
**Western Regional Association for
Pavement Preservation (WRAPP)**

Tracy Hernandez, Founding CEO
Los Angeles County Business Federation

SB 1 Funding for Congressional District 45

Below is the total funding for the cities and counties in Congressional District 45 for the next two years, as well as an average of state project funding for your district. These funds will be used to improve local streets and roads, state highways, and invest in local job-creating transportation improvement projects.

Cities, County/State	2017-2018	2018-2019
Orange County	\$12,330,000	\$34,120,000
Anaheim	\$2,023,502	\$6,070,151
Irvine	\$1,459,905	\$4,379,459
Laguna Hills	\$173,351	\$520,021
Laguna Woods	\$91,944	\$275,816
Lake Forest	\$474,099	\$1,422,215
Mission Viejo	\$546,370	\$1,639,013
Orange	\$799,036	\$2,396,968
Rancho Santa Margarita	\$274,120	\$822,312
Tustin	\$467,359	\$1,401,994
Villa Park	\$33,607	\$100,814
State Highways	\$26,358,490	\$43,108,000
Total	\$45,031,782	\$96,256,763